

	Case 2:22-cv-02015-CSK Document 69 Filed 04/21/25 Page 2 of 2
1	Zivkovic v. Southern California Edison Co., 302 F.3d 1080, 1087 (9th Cir. 2002) (quoting
2	Johnson, 975 F.2d at 607).
3	Discussion
4	The initial discovery and scheduling order (ECF No. 55) has been modified twice. (ECF
5	Nos. 60, 63.) On December 26, 2024, discovery was reopened for the limited purpose of
6	deposing Mr. Puckett, and the pretrial motions deadline was stayed. (ECF No. 63.)
7	In addition to the usual difficulties involved in coordinating a deposition of an inmate with
8	the attendance of another inmate at a different prison, defendant has provided evidence of
9	continued difficulties in scheduling Mr. Puckett's deposition due to Mr. Puckett's frequent
10	transfers to different prisons. (ECF No. 68-1 at 6.) The Court finds that defendant has diligently
11	attempted to schedule Mr. Puckett's deposition and has shown good cause for this third extension
12	of the discovery deadline.
13	<u>ORDER</u>
14	Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that defendant's motion (ECF No. 68)
15	to modify the discovery and scheduling orders (ECF Nos. 55, 60, 63) is granted. Defendant is
16	granted a forty-day extension of time to depose incarcerated witness Durrell Puckett and file any
17	necessary motion to compel his compliance with a subpoena, up to and including May 28, 2025.
18	No other deadlines are affected by this order.
19	Dated: 04/18/25
20	CHI SOO KIM
21	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
22	/1/park2015.16b3
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	